### DATA SUMMARY FORM: VOLATILES 1 SOIL GAS SAMPLES

# parts per billion by volume (ppbv)

Site Name: IndustriPlex

Sampling Date: June 12, 2004

SDG #100862

Trillium Project No. 04864

Sample Number Lab ID Dilution Factor	SG-Teradyne 576334 1.0		SG-Teradyne-D 576335 1.0	UP	576336 1.0	K	-/						<u>.</u>
RL SCOULA POINT					<u> </u>			L					
0.50 1,4-Dichlorobenzene					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 						<u> </u>
0.50 1,2-Dichlorobenzene							 						ļ!
0.50 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene													<b> </b>
0.50 Hexachlorobutadiene			,	,			 						
0.50 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.65		0.50							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ļ'
0.50 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.67		0.70				 						<u> </u>
0.50 1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane							 						
0.50 1,2-Dibromoethane					<u></u>	·	 						
0.50 1,3-Butadiene													
0.50 Carbon disulfide	2.0		0.98										
5.0 Acetone	34		32				 						
5.0 Isopropyl alcohol	29	J	32										
0.50 Methyl tert-butyl ether							 						
0.50 Cyclohexane	1.7	J	1.7									, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
0.50 Dibromochloromethane		<u> </u>											
0.50 Methyl ethyl ketone	2.0	J	2.0										
0.50 Methyl isobutyl ketone											-		
0.50 Methyl butyl ketone													
0.50 Bromodichloromethane												·	
0.50 trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	. A												
0.50 4-Ethyltoluene	0.52		0.52										
0.50 2-Chlorotoluene	<del></del>	UJ		UJ		UJ				ł			
0.50 n-Hexane	1.8	J	1.9										
5.0 Tetrahydrofuran													
0.50 n-Heptane	7.5	J	8.4		:				<b> </b>				
	<u> </u>						 						
													1
									<del> </del>			<del></del>	+

\Industriplex\soil gas\100862 voc



# **ATTACHMENT B**

VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEETS (FORM Is)
SDG #100862
Volatiles in Soil Gas - IndustriPlex
Samples Collected June 12, 2004

SGTERADYNE SG-TERADYNE

Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON

Contract: 24000

Lab Code: STLVT

Case No.: 24000

SAS No.:

SDG No.: 100862

Matrix: (soil/water) AIR

Lab Sample ID: 576334

Sample wt/vol:

200.0 (g/mL) ML

LOW

Lab File ID: 576334

Level:

(low/med)

Date Received: 06/19/04

% Moisture: not dec.

Date Analyzed: 07/02/04

GC Column: RTX-624

ID: 0.32 (mm)

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Extract Volume:\_\_\_\_(uL)

Soil Aliquot Volume: \_\_\_\_(uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/Kg) PPBV

**SGTERADYNE** SG-TERADYNE

Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON

Contract: 24000

Lab Code: STLVT

Case No.: 24000

SAS No.:

SDG No.: 100862

Matrix: (soil/water) AIR

Lab Sample ID: 576334

Sample wt/vol:

200.0 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: 576334

Level:

(low/med) LOW Date Received: 06/19/04

% Moisture: not dec.

Date Analyzed: 07/02/04

GC Column: RTX-624

ID: 0.32 (mm)

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.

COMPOUND

(ug/L or ug/Kg) PPBV

COMPOUND

CAS NO.

Q

SGTERADYNEDL Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON Contract: 24000 SG-TERADYNEDL Lab Code: STLVT Case No.: 24000 SAS No.: SDG No.: 100862 Matrix: (soil/water) AIR Lab Sample ID: 576334D1 200.0 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 576334D/ Sample wt/vol: LOW \* DO NOT USE\* Date Received: 06/18/04 Level: (low/med) Date Analyzed: 07/02/04 % Moisture: not dec. Cae 7/13/04 Dilution Factor: 2.0 ID: 0.32 (mm) GC Column: RTX-624 Soil Aliquot Volume: \_\_\_\_(uL) Soil Extract Volume: (uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS:

(ug/L or ug/Kg) PPBV

75-71-8-----Dichlorodifluoromethane 1.0 U 74-87-3-----Chloromethane 1.0 0 75-01-4-----Vinyl Chloride 1.0 U 74-83-9-----Bromomethane 1.0 U 1.0 U 8.5 Ø J 75-00-3-----Chloroethane 75-69-4-----Trichlorofluoromethane 76-13-1-----Freon TF 1.0 U 75-35-4----1,1-Dichloroethene/ 1.0 U 1.0U 75-09-2----Methylene Chloride 1.0 U 75-34-3-----1,1-Dichloroethane 156-59-2-----cis-1,2-Dichloxoethene\_ 1.0 U 67-66-3-----Chloroform 1.0 U 71-55-6----1,1,1-Trichloroethane 1.0 U 56-23-5-----Carbon Tetrachloride 1.0 U 71-43-2-----Benzene 1.1 🛭 107-06-2----1,2-Dich/oroethane 1.0 U 5.3 p 79-01-6-----Trichloroethene 78-87-5-----1,2-Di/chloropropane 1.0 T 10061-01-5----cis-1,3-Dichloropropene 1.0 U 108-88-3-----Tolviene 7.3|p10061-02-6----trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 1.0 T 79-00-5-----1/,1,2-Trichloroethane 1.0 U 127-18-4------Tetrachloroethene\_
108-90-7-------Chlorobenzene\_\_\_\_ 1.0 U 1.0 U 100-41-4----/--Ethylbenzene 1.4 0 1330-20-7---/---Xylene (m,p) 4.9 7 100-42-5---/----Styrene 1.8 7 95-47-6--/----Xylene (o) 1.5 79-34-5-/----1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane\_ 1.0 0 541-73-1/----1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1.0 U 106-46/7-----1,4-Dichlorobenzene 1.0 U 95-50/1----1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1.0 U 120-82-1----1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 1.0 U

ROUX2 SAMPLE NO.

SGTERADYNEDL Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON Contract: 24000 SG-TERADYNEDL Lab Code: STLVT Case No.: 24000 SAS No.: SDG No.: 100862 Matrix: (soil/water) AIR Lab Sample ID: 576334D1 Sample wt/vol: 200.0 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 576334D Date Received: 06/19/04/ Level: (low/med) \* DO NOT USEX % Moisture: not dec. Date Analyzed: 07/02/04 cas 2/13/04 Dilution Factor: 2.0GC Column: RTX-624 ID: 0.32 (mm) Soil Extract Volume: (uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL) CONCENTRATION UNITS: CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) PPBV Q 87-68-3-----Hexachlorobutadiene 1.0 U 108-67-8-----1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 1.0 U 95-63-6----1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 1.0 U 76-14-2----1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethan 1.0 U 106-93-4----1,2-Dibromoethane 1.0 U 106-99-0----1,3-Butadiene 1.0 U 2.2 D 39 D 75-15-0-----Carbon Disulfide 67-64-1-----Acetone 67-63-0-----Isopropyl Alcohol 33 | 10 1634-04-4-----Methyl tert-Butyl Ether 1.0 U 110-82-7-----Cyclohexane 1.8 Ø 124-48-1-----Dibromochloromethane 1.0 T 78-93-3-----Methyl Ethyl Ketøne 2.0 DJ 1.1 108-10-1-----Methyl Isobutyl/Ketone 591-78-6-----Methyl Butyl Ketone 2.4 p 75-27-4-----Bromodichloromethane 1.0 T 156-60-5-----trans-1,2-Dichloroethene 1.0 U 622-96-8----4-Ethyltolylene 1.0 U 95-49-8-----2-Chlorotoluene 1.0 W UJ 1.4 Ø J 10 U 110-54-3----n-Hexane/ 109-99-9-----Tetrahydrofuran 142-82-5----n-Heptane 8.2 p

#### ROUX2 SAMPLE NO.

#### FORM 1 VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

SGTERADYNEDP SG-TERADYNE-DUP

Contract: 24000 Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON

Lab Code: STLVT Case No.: 24000 SAS No.:

SDG No.: 100862

Matrix: (soil/water) AIR

Lab Sample ID: 576335

Sample wt/vol: 200.0 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: 576335I2

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 06/19/04

% Moisture: not dec. \_\_\_\_\_

Date Analyzed: 07/02/04

GC Column: RTX-624 ID: 0.32 (mm)

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO. COMPOUND

(uq/L or ug/Kg) PPBV Q

CAS NO.	COMPOUND (ag/ ii or a	3/19/1151		~ ~	
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane		0.62		
	Chloromethane	I	0.69		
	Vinyl Chloride		0.50	Ū	
	Bromomethane	-	0.50	U	,
	Chloroethane	- -	0.61		
	Trichlorofluoromethane	-	8.6		
76-13-1		-	0.50	Ū	
	1,1-Dichloroethene	-] (	0.50	U	
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride	_ (	0.51	J	car
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	-  (	0.50	Ū	COE 7/13/04
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	-  (	0.50	U	4/10/0
	Chloroform		0.50	U	
71-55-6	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	-  (	0.50	ט	
56-23-5	Carbon Tetrachloride	-  (	0.50	U	
71-43-2	Benzene		0.73		
	1,2-Dichloroethane	_  (	0.50	U	
79-01-6	Trichloroethene		4.1		
	1,2-Dichloropropane		0.50		
10061-01-5	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	_  (	0.50	ן ט	
108-88-3			6.5		
	trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		0.50		
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane		0.50		
	Tetrachloroethene_		0.50		
	Chlorobenzene	_ (	0.50	ן ט	
	Ethylbenzene	_	1.1		
	Xylene (m,p)	_	4.5		
100-42-5		_	1.4		
95-47-6	Xylene (o)	_	1.4		
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		0.50		
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene		0.50		
106-46-7	1,4-Dichlorobenzene		0.50		
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene		0.50	1	
120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	_  (	50	บ	

ROUX2 SAMPLE NO.

SGTERADYNEDP SG-TERADYNE-DUP

Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON

Contract: 24000

Lab Code: STLVT

Case No.: 24000 SAS No.:

SDG No.: 100862

Matrix: (soil/water) AIR

Lab Sample ID: 576335

Sample wt/vol:

200.0 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: 57633512

Level: (low/med)

LOW

Date Received: 06/19/04

% Moisture: not dec.

Date Analyzed: 07/02/04

GC Column: RTX-624

ID: 0.32 (mm)

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

Soil Aliquot Volume: \_\_\_\_(uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.

COMPOUND

(ug/L or ug/Kg) PPBV

			1
87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	ľ	
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	U	
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.70		1
76-14-21,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethan	0.50	Ū	1
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane	0.50	U	
106-99-01,3-Butadiene	0.50	U	İ
75-15-0Carbon Disulfide	0.98		ļ
67-64-1Acetone	32		
67-63-0Isopropyl Alcohol	32		
1634-04-4Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	0.50	Ū.	
110-82-7Cyclohexane	1.7		
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50	Ū	
78-93-3Methyl Ethyl Ketone	2.0		
108-10-1Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.50	Ū	
591-78-6Methyl Butyl Ketone	0.50		
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50		
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50		
622-96-84-Ethyltoluene	0.52		
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene		VUJ	cae
110-54-3n-Hexane	1.9	P UJ	كما ما ورام
109-99-9Tetrahydrofuran	5.0	TT	1 1115107
142-82-5n-Heptane	8.4	J	,
142-02-3II-neptatie	0.4		
ll.			

TRIP BLANK

Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON Contract: 24000

Lab Code: STLVT Case No.: 24000 SAS No.: SDG No.: 100862

Matrix: (soil/water) AIR Lab Sample ID: 576336

Sample wt/vol: 200.0 (g/mL) ML Lab File ID: 576336

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 06/19/04

% Moisture: not dec. \_\_\_\_\_ Date Analyzed: 07/02/04

GC Column: RTX-624 ID: 0.32 (mm) Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Extract Volume: \_\_\_\_(uL) Soil Aliquot Volume: \_\_\_\_(uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO. COMPOUND (ug/L or ug/Kg) PPBV Q

		(u <u>5)</u> 1 01	ug/10g/			×
75-71-8	Dichlorodifluorome	thane	·	0.50	7 11	
	Chloromethane			0.50		
75-01-4	Vinyl Chloride			0.50		
74-83-9	Bromomethane			0.50		
	Chloroethane			0.50		
	Trichlorofluoromet	hane		0.50		
76-13-1				0.50		
	1,1-Dichloroethene			0.50		
75-09-2	Methylene Chloride			0.50		
75-34-3	1,1-Dichloroethane	-		0.50		
156-59-2	cis-1,2-Dichloroet	hene		0.50		
67-66-3	Chloroform			0.50		
	1,1,1-Trichloroeth	ane		0.50		
	Carbon Tetrachlori			0.50		
71-43-2		***************************************		0.50		
107-06-2	1,2-Dichloroethane			0.50		
79-01-6	Trichloroethene			0.50		
78-87-5	1,2-Dichloropropan	е		0.50		
	cis-1,3-Dichloropr			0.50	U	
108-88-3	Toluene			0.50		
10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro	propene		0.50	U	
79-00-5	1,1,2-Trichloroeth	ane		0.50	U	
	Tetrachloroethene	***************************************		0.50	U	
108-90-7	Chlorobenzene			0.50	U	.
100-41-4	Ethylbenzene			0.50	U	- 1
1330-20-7	Xylene (m,p)			0.50	U	
100-42-5	Styrene			0.50	U	l
	Xylene (o)			0.50	U	l
79-34-5	1,1,2,2-Tetrachlor	oethane		0.50	U	1
541-73-1	1,3-Dichlorobenzen	e		0.50	U	
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene			0.50	U	
95-50-1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	e		0.50		
120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichloroben:	zene		0.50	U	
					<u> </u>	

ROUX2 SAMPLE NO.

TRIP BLANK

Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON Contract: 24000

Lab Code: STLVT

Case No.: 24000

SAS No.:

SDG No.: 100862

Matrix: (soil/water) AIR

Lab Sample ID: 576336

Sample wt/vol:

200.0 (g/mL) ML

Lab File ID: 576336

Level: (low/med) LOW Date Received: 06/19/04

% Moisture: not dec.

Date Analyzed: 07/02/04

GC Column: RTX-624

ID: 0.32 (mm)

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

Soil Aliquot Volume: \_\_\_\_(uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.

COMPOUND

(ug/L or ug/Kg) PPBV

87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene	0.50	TT	
108-67-81,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.50	4	
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.50		,
76-14-21,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethan			
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane	0.50		
106-99-01,3-Butadiene	0.50		
75-15-0Carbon Disulfide	0.50		
67-64-1Acetone	5.0		
67-63-0Isopropyl Alcohol	5.0		
1634-04-4Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	0.50		-
110-82-7Cyclohexane	0.50		
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	0.50		
78-93-3Methyl Ethyl Ketone	0.50		
108-10-1Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.50		
591-78-6Methyl Butyl Ketone	0.50	_	
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane	0.50		
156-60-5trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.50		
622-96-84-Ethyltoluene	0.50		
95-49-82-Chlorotoluene		<b>VUJ</b>	caq,
110-54-3n-Hexane	0.50		CaE 7/13/04
109-99-9Tetrahydrofuran	5.0		4/15/04
142-82-5n-Heptane	0.50	U	



#### **DATA VALIDATION**

**FOR** 

INDUSTRIPLEX PROJECT WOBURN, MASSACHUSETTS

ORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA Volatile Organics in a Solid Sample Sample Delivery Group #100862 Sample Collected June 2004

Chemical Analyses Performed by: Severn Trent Laboratories Colchester, Vermont

For:

Roux Associates, Inc. Burlington, Massachusetts

By:

Trillium, Inc. 356 Farragut Crossing Drive Knoxville, Tennessee 37922 (865) 966-8880

July 13, 2004

04864\CAE\EKD Industriplex/Soil Gas/100862 clay



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Validation of the volatile organics analysis data prepared by Severn Trent Laboratories (STL Burlington) for one solid sample collected in association with the IndustriPlex project in Woburn, Massachusetts, has been completed by Trillium, Inc. The data were reported by the laboratory in a single data package under Sample Delivery Group (SDG) #100862, which was received for review on July 8, 2004, with corrected documentation provided on July 13, 2004. The following sample was reported:

#### **Modeling Clay**

Based on the validation effort, reported sample results were qualified as follows:

- The result for acetone in Modeling Clay was qualified as estimated (UJ).
- The result for naphthalene in Modeling Clay was qualified as less than the sample-specific reporting limit (15 U).

Brief explanations of the reasons for the actions taken above may be found in the Overall Assessment (Section XIII). Details of the validation findings and conclusions based on review of the results for each quality control requirement are provided in the remaining sections of this report.

Documentation issues are discussed in Section XII.

This validation report should be considered <u>part of the data package</u> for all future distributions of the volatile organics data.



#### INTRODUCTION

Analyses were performed according to EPA Method 8260B (SW-846, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Third Edition, through Update III, 12/96). Various qualifiers were used by the laboratory to denote specific information regarding the sample results.

To the extent possible, Trillium's validation was performed in conformance with the "Region I, EPA-New England Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Environmental Analysis," 12/96. Where discrepancies were observed between the criteria presented in the validation guidelines and the method specifications, the method specifications took precedence. Professional judgment was applied as necessary and appropriate.

The data validation process is intended to evaluate data on a technical basis rather than a contract compliance basis. This requires that the data package contain sufficient raw data documentation to facilitate the validation effort and allow verification of the reported results. It is assumed that the data package represents the best efforts of the laboratory and has already been subjected to adequate and sufficient quality review prior to submission for validation.

During the validation process, laboratory data are verified against all available supporting documentation. Based on this evaluation, qualifier codes may be added, deleted, or modified by the data validator. Validated results are, therefore, either qualified or unqualified. Unqualified results mean that the reported values may be used without reservation. Final validated results are annotated with the following codes as defined by Region I:

- U The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The associated numerical value is the sample quantitation limit.
- J The associated numerical value is an estimated quantity.
- UJ The material was analyzed for, but was not detected. The sample quantitation limit is an estimated quantity.
- R The data are unusable (compound may or may not be present). Resampling and reanalysis is necessary for verification.

These codes are recorded on the data summary form contained in Attachment A as well as on the laboratory's Volatile Organics Analysis Data Sheet (Form I) in Attachment B of this validation report to indicate qualifications placed on the data as a result of the validation effort.

Two facts should be noted by all data users. First, the "R" qualifier means that the laboratory-reported value is unusable. In other words, due to significant quality control problems,



the analysis is invalid and provides no information as to whether the analyte is present or not. Rejected values should not appear on data tables because they cannot be relied upon, even as a last resort. Second, no analyte concentration is guaranteed to be accurate even if all associated quality control is acceptable. Strict quality control conformance serves only to increase confidence in reported results; any analytical result will always contain some error.

The data user is also cautioned that the validation effort is based on the raw data printouts as provided by the laboratory. Software manipulation cannot be routinely detected during validation; unless otherwise stated in the report, these kinds of issues are outside the scope of this review.



#### I. Holding Times, Preservation, and Sample Integrity

The solid sample was collected on 6/18/04 and was analyzed on 6/21/04. This is well within the established holding time (14 days from collection) for solid samples.

No preservation information was recorded on the applicable chain of custody record by the sampler or the laboratory, but an acceptable ( $4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ ) cooler temperature of  $2^{\circ}C$  was recorded on the laboratory's Log-In Sheet. Laboratory notations on the Log-In Sheet also indicated that the sample was received intact, on ice, and in a sealed cooler.

#### II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Two instrument performance checks using bromofluorobenzene (BFB) were run, representing both shifts (12-hour periods) during which samples, associated quality control (QC) samples, or associated standards were analyzed. Results for both instrument performance checks were acceptable.

#### III. Calibration

All reported sample analyses were performed on a single gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer (GC/MS) system identified as "N." No evidence that any internal standard or surrogate peaks were manually integrated was found in the data package. One or more target analyte peaks were manually integrated in some of the calibration standards and sample and QC analyses reported in this data set. Documentation of these manual integrations was provided in the data package, and verified that the integrations were correctly performed and accurately transcribed into the applicable quantitation reports.

#### A. <u>Initial Calibration (IC)</u>

One five-point IC associated with the reported samples was analyzed on 5/18/04. A calibration range of 5  $\mu$ g/kg (ppb) to 200 ppb was established for all target analytes except propionitrile, which was calibrated from 20 ppb to 800 ppb; tetrahydrofuran, which was calibrated from 50 ppb to 2000 ppb; and isobutyl alcohol and 1,4-dioxane, which were each calibrated from 250 ppb to 10000 ppb. Relative response factors (RRFs) as well as percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) values were correctly calculated and accurately reported for this IC.

All reported average RRF values were greater than the minimum acceptance limit of 0.05 with the exceptions of acrolein (0.026), 2-butanone (0.027), propionitrile (0.021), isobutyl alcohol (0.012), and 1,4-dioxane (0.003).



Responses for all five of the analytes listed above showed acceptable consistency over the calibration range in the IC (6.4 %RSD to 11.5 %RSD), and the area responses were substantial (7512 counts to 143,620 counts) in the low concentration IC standard. These areas are similar to or greater than the low concentration responses for other poor performers (i.e., acrylonitrile, 16,335 counts, 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether, 14,247 counts, and acetone, 20,983 counts) for which acceptable RRFs were obtained. The low average RRFs can be partially attributed to the high area responses for the associated internal standard peak ( $\geq 2,500,000$  counts in each IC standard). For propionitrile, isobutyl alcohol, and 1,4-dioxane, the higher concentrations analyzed in each IC standard also contributed to the low calculated average RRFs.

2-Butanone was reported in the sample in this data set  $(14 \mu g/kg)$ , indicating that detection of this analyte is not a problem. In addition, recoveries of all five analytes with low average RRFs were acceptable in the laboratory control sample analyses (see Section VI.B). Therefore, although the validation guidelines recommend rejection of non-detected sample results for analytes with RRFs below 0.05 in the associated IC, based on all of the available quality control data and professional judgment, no sample results were qualified based on the low average RRFs in the IC.

All %RSD values were below the method-specified maximum acceptance criterion of 15%, with the exception of acetone (28.4%RSD). The result for acetone in Modeling Clay was qualified as estimated (UJ) based on the high %RSD value in the associated IC.

#### B. Continuing Calibration (CC)

The reported sample analysis was run in association with a CC standard analyzed on 6/21/04 at 21:37. Documentation of this CC standard was present in the data package, and RRF as well as percent difference (%D) values comparing the CC RRFs to the average RRFs from the IC were correctly calculated and accurately reported for all target analytes.

RRFs for all target analytes were acceptable (QC >0.05) in the CC standard with the exceptions of acrolein (0.020), acetone (0.046), 2-butanone (0.024), propionitrile (0.022), isobutyl alcohol (0.011), and 1,4-dioxane (0.003). Very good area counts were obtained for acrolein (59,084 counts), 2-butanone (72,648 counts), propionitrile (257,096 counts), isobutyl alcohol (1,696,135 counts), and 1,4-dioxane (486,297 counts) in the CC standard. For the reasons discussed in Section III.A, no sample results for these five analytes were qualified based on the low RRFs.

A very good area response was also obtained for acetone (136,220 counts) in the CC standard. This is comparable to the area obtained for acetone in the 50 ppb IC standard (133,388 counts), and the RRF in the CC is only slightly below the minimum acceptance limit. Based on professional judgment, no action was taken based on the low RRF for acetone in the CC standard.



#### IV. Blanks

One solid-matrix method blank (VBLKW8) was analyzed in association with the sample this data set. Naphthalene was detected at a low concentration (1.2  $\mu$ g/kg) in this method blank. The result for naphthalene in Modeling Clay was qualified as less than the sample-specific reporting limit (RL) (15 U) because it is less than five times the concentration found in the associated method blank. No other target analytes were detected in the method blank.

No field-submitted blanks were submitted for analysis with the solid sample in this data set.

#### V. Surrogate Recovery

Recoveries for the three surrogate compounds were correctly calculated, accurately reported, and within the acceptance limits documented on the summary forms for all reported analyses in this data package.

#### VI. Spiked Analyses

#### A. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD)

No MS/MSD results were included in the data package.

#### B. Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

One laboratory control sample pair (NXX1 LCS/LCSD) was prepared and analyzed in association with the solid sample in this data set. The LCS/LCSD pair was spiked at 50  $\mu$ g/kg for all target analytes except propionitrile (200  $\mu$ g/kg), tetrahydrofuran (500  $\mu$ g/kg), and isobutyl alcohol and 1,4-dioxane (2500  $\mu$ g/kg). All target analyte recoveries were within the analyte-specific acceptance limits documented by the laboratory on the summary forms in the data package except for chloroethane (120%; QC 72-118%) in the LCS and tetrachloroethene (112%; QC 71-107%) in the LCSD.

Since neither of these analytes was detected in Modeling Clay and both recoveries are too high, suggesting the potential for reporting false positives or for positive results that are biased high, no action was taken by the validator based on the LCS/LCSD results.

#### VII. Duplicates

#### A. Laboratory Duplicate

Unspiked laboratory duplicate analysis was not performed on the solid sample in this data set.



#### B. Field Duplicate

No field duplicate was submitted with this data set.

#### VIII. Internal Standard Performance

All internal standard areas and retention times (RTs) were within the quality control limits specified by the National Functional Guidelines (area  $\pm 50\%$  of the corresponding CC standard area and RT  $\pm 0.30$  seconds of the corresponding CC standard RT) and documented on the summary forms for all reported analyses in this data set.

#### IX. Target Compound Identification

All reported target analytes were correctly identified with acceptable supporting mass spectra present in the data package.

#### X. Compound Quantitation and Reported Quantitation Limits

Target compound concentrations and sample-specific RLs were correctly calculated and accurately reported for the solid sample in this data set, including appropriate adjustments for actual sample weight analyzed and percent moisture.

Documentation of the percent moisture measurement in the solid sample was included in the data package. The reported percent moisture for Modeling Clay was correctly calculated and accurately reported.

Laboratory-reported RLs are equal to the lowest IC standard concentration associated with these sample analyses. These values are well supported by the documented raw data.

The data summary form in Attachment A lists all individual sample analytes. All *positive* results are presented on this form, whether or not the value or qualifier was changed as a result of the validation. Where no result is listed, the analyte was not detected and the RL was not qualified. Sample-specific RLs may be found on the sample Form I (Attachment B), or may be calculated from the information on the data summary form as follows: unadjusted RL (far left column) multiplied by the dilution factor and divided by ([100 minus percent moisture] divided by 100).



#### XI. System Performance

The analytical system appears to have been working satisfactorily at the time of these analyses, based on evaluation of the available raw data.

#### XII. Documentation

A COC record documenting the sample in this data set was included in the data package and was accurately completed except that no preservation information was recorded by the sampler at the time of collection or by the laboratory on receipt of the sample.

Raw data supporting the BFB analysis performed on 5/18/04 at 16:35, as documented on the summary form, were not included in the data package. Instead, raw data for a BFB analysis run on 5/18/04 at 16:23, in which all acceptance criteria were not met, were included. At the validator's request on 7/12/04, the laboratory provided the missing raw data via email on 7/13/04. These pages were inserted into the data package as pages 94 to 96, replacing the incorrectly reported pages.

These documentation issues do not directly affect the validity of the analytical data generated. However, some of them could be problematic if the data were to be used for litigation.

#### XIII. Overall Assessment

Based on the validation effort, results for the sample reported in SDG#100862 were qualified as follows:

- The result for acetone in Modeling Clay was qualified as estimated (UJ) based on an unacceptably high %RSD value in the associated initial calibration.
- The result for naphthalene in Modeling Clay was qualified as less than the sample-specific RL (15 U) based on contamination in the associated method blank

Documentation issues are discussed in Section XII.

This validation report should be considered <u>part of the data package</u> for all future distributions of the volatile organics data.



# **ATTACHMENT A**

# **DATA SUMMARY FORM**

SDG#100862 Volatiles in a Solid Sample - IndustriPlex Sample Collected June 18, 2004

# DATA SUMMARY FORM: VOLATILES 1 SOLID SAMPLE ug/kg

Site Name: IndustriPlex

SDG #100862

Sampling Date: June 18, 2004 Trillium Project No. 04864

	1			<u> </u>			<del></del>						7	
San	mple Number	Modeling Cla	y					·						1
	Lab ID	576337			 									
D	ilution Factor	1.39												$\parallel$
RL Pero	cent Moisture	53												
5.0 Dichlorodif					-			T				Г	<del> </del>	ㅠ쒸
5.0 Chlorometh	nane							İ						$\dagger - d$
5.0 Vinyl chlori	ide													+-1
5.0 Bromometh	nane									~~~~				$\dagger$
5.0 Chloroethai											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1
5.0 Trichloroflu	ioromethane													
5.0 Acrolein														
5.0 Freon TF														
5.0 1,1-Dichlor	oethene	260												
5.0 Acetone			UJ											T
5.0 Methyl iodi													:	T
5.0 Carbon dist														
5.0 Allyl chloric														
5.0 Methylene	chloride			-										
5.0 Acrylonitril	е	46												
5.0 trans-1,2-D	ichloroethene													
5.0 total 1,2-Di	chloroethene													
5.0 Methyl-t-bu	ityl ether													
5.0 1,1-Dichlor														
5.0 Vinyl aceta		-												
5.0 Chloropren														
5.0 cis-1,2-Diel														
5.0 2-Butanone	*	14	J								,			
20 Proprionitri	le							1						
5.0 Methacrylo	nitrile													$\Box$
5.0 Bromochlo														$\top$
50 Tetrahydro														$\top$
5.0 Chloroform														$\top$
5.0 1,1,1-Trich														$\vdash$
5.0 Carbon tetr														+-+
250 Isobutyl alc	ohol													$\vdash$
					 ·	<u> </u>		٠	<del></del>		L	L	L	

<sup>\* 2-</sup>butanone is areferred to as methyl ethyl ketone in the soil gas data packages

\Industriplex\soil gas\100862 clay

# SOLID SAMPLE ug/kg

Site Name: IndustriPlex

SDG #100862

Sampling Date: June 18, 2004

Trillium Project No. 04864

	Sample Number	Modeling Clay											
11	Lab ID	576337											
	Dilution Factor	1.39											
RL	Percent Moisture	53											
5.0	Benzene				T===	1	ī		l T		<del></del>		==
5.0	1,2-Dichloroethane				†	l .			<del> </del>		<u> </u>		$\vdash \vdash$
	Trichloroethene				1		<b> </b>		<b></b>				$\vdash$
5.0	1,2-Dichloropropane				<b> </b>								$\vdash$
5.0	Methyl methacrylate				1		<b> </b>						
	Dibromomethane				1		<b>†</b>						
	1,4-Dioxane						<b> </b>		<u> </u>				$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
5.0	Bromodichloromethane												$\vdash$
5.0	2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether				1								$\vdash$
5.0	cis-1,3-Dichloropropene				1								$\vdash$
	Ethyl methacrylate				1								$\vdash$
5.0	1,1,2-Trichloroethane												
	Tetrachloroethene										ļ		
	2-Hexanone										ļ		
5.0	Dibromochloromethane									*			
5.0	1,2-Dibromoethane								<b> </b>				
	Chlorobenzene		·										
5.0	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane												
5.0	Ethylbenzene												
5.0	m,p-Xylenes												
	total Xylenes							·					
	ó-Xylene				<b>†</b>								-
5.0	Styrene				<del> </del>								$\overline{}$
					<b>†</b>		<del>                                     </del>			,			
	Isopropylbenzene				†					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	cis-1,2-Dichloro-2-butene			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>						
5.0					<b>†</b>		l		2				<u> </u>
	1,2,3-Trichloropropane			<u> </u>	1		<del>                                     </del>						
	trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	-			1		<b>-</b>						
5.0	1,3-Dichlorobenzene				1							<del></del>	
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	-			1								,l
		LL	 	L		L	L		<u> </u>	\Tm d=====1===============================		<u></u>	

\Industriplex\soil gas\100862 clay

# DATA SUMMARY FORM: VOLATILES 3 SOLID SAMPLE ug/kg

Site Name: IndustriPlex

Sampling Date: June 18, 2004

SDG #100862

Trillium Project No. 04864

	Sample Number	Modeling Cla	. I								1				
	Lab ID	576337	y							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Dilution Factor	1.39													
RL	Percent Moisture	53													
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene							<u> </u>	<del></del>	<u> </u>		<u></u>		L	=
										<u> </u>					
5.0	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene														
	Hexachlorobutadiene										· · ·				
	Naphthalene	15	TT										ļ		
5.0	2,2-Dichloropropane	13	0												-
5.0	1,1-Dichloropropene										<u> </u>				
5.0						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>				$\vdash$
5.0	Bromobenzene							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<del> </del>		$\vdash$
	n-Propylbenzene														$\vdash$
	2-Chlorotoluene		<del> </del>											<del>                                     </del>	
	4-Chlorotoluene		<del> </del>								l		<u> </u>		
5.0	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		<b></b>												$\vdash$
	tert-Butylbenzene			·			····				<u> </u>				$\vdash$
5.0	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		-										<u> </u>		$\Box$
5.0	sec-Butylbenzene									·					
	4-Isopropyltoluene		<b> </b>		**										
5.0	n-Butylbenzene														
5.0	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene		<b>†</b>												
	, <b>-,-,-</b>												<b></b>		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										<b></b>				
-			_								-		· · · ·	<del> </del>	
			<del> </del>									,			
			<del> </del>										<u> </u>		$\vdash$
	÷		<b>†</b>		·····								<del>                                     </del>		$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
		<del> </del>	<b> </b>												$\vdash$
1											<del>                                     </del>				$\vdash \vdash$
	- 1						-				<del>                                     </del>				
		<u> </u>													
		1													
	<del>                                     </del>		1	t							<b> </b>				
	·	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<del> </del>		L	<u></u>	I	L	\Industrialev\coil	<u> </u>	10050	ш

\Industriplex\soil gas\100862 clay



# **ATTACHMENT B**

# LABORATORY FORM I

SDG#100862 Volatiles in a Solid Sample - IndustriPlex Sample Collected June 18, 2004

ROUX2 SAMPLE NO.

Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON

Contract: 24000

MODEL CLAY Modelina Clack

Lab Code: STLVT Case No.: 24000 SAS No.:

SDG No.: 100862

Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL

Lab Sample ID: 576337

Sample wt/vol:

3.6 (g/mL) G

COMPOUND

Lab File ID:

576337

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 06/19/04

% Moisture: not dec. 53

Date Analyzed: 06/22/04

GC Column: CAP ID: 0.53 (mm)

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL)

Soil Extract Volume:\_\_\_\_(uL)

CAS NO.

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

(ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG

CAD NO.	COMPOSITE (ug/ E OF u	9/19/00/10	Q	
74-87-3 75-01-4 74-83-9 75-00-3 75-69-4 107-02-8 76-13-1 75-35-4 75-15-0 107-05-1 75-09-2 107-13-1 156-60-5 540-59-0 1634-04-4 75-34-3 126-99-8 126-99-8 126-98-7 74-97-5 109-99-9	DichlorodifluoromethaneChloromethaneVinyl ChlorideBromomethaneChloroethaneTrichlorofluoromethaneTrichlorofluoromethaneAcroleinFreon TF1,1-DichloroetheneAcetoneMethyl IodideCarbon DisulfideAllyl ChlorideMethylene ChlorideAcrylonitriletrans-1,2-Dichloroethene1,2-Dichloroethene (total)Methyl-t-Butyl Ether1,1-DichloroethaneVinyl AcetateChloroprenecis-1,2-Dichloroethene	15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	שע טטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטטט	Ca Erik Sa 7/13/04
126-98-7 74-97-5 109-99-9 67-66-3 71-55-6 56-23-5 78-83-1 71-43-2	MethacrylonitrileBromochloromethaneTetrahydrofuranChloroform1,1,1-TrichloroethaneCarbon TetrachlorideIsobutyl Alcohol	15 15 150 150	ט ט ט ט ט	

Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON Contract: 24000

MODEL CLAY Modeling Claw

Lab Code: STLVT Case No.: 24000 SAS No.:

SDG No.: 100862

Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL

Lab Sample ID: 576337

Sample wt/vol:

3.6 (g/mL) G

Lab File ID: 576337

Level: (low/med) LOW

Date Received: 06/19/04

% Moisture: not dec. 53

Date Analyzed: 06/22/04

GC Column: CAP

ID: 0.53 (mm)

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

Soil Aliquot Volume: \_\_\_\_(uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

COMPOUND CAS NO. (ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG

TO OF C		
79-01-6Trichloroethene	. (	U
78-87-51,2-Dichloropropane	, l	U
80-62-6Methyl Methacrylate		ט   כ
74-95-3Dibromomethane		5   U
123-91-11,4-Dioxane	730	_
75-27-4Bromodichloromethane		ן ע
110-75-82-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether_	1	ט ו
10061-01-5cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		5 ט
108-10-14-Methyl-2-pentanone	15	ן ט
108-88-3Toluene	15	5 U
10061-02-6trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	1	: U
97-63-2Ethyl Methacrylate	1	U
79-00-51,1,2-Trichloroethane	15	U
127-18-4Tetrachloroethene	15	U
591-78-62-Hexanone	15	ָ <b>ט</b>
124-48-1Dibromochloromethane	15	U
106-93-41,2-Dibromoethane	15	U
108-90-7Chlorobenzene	15	U
630-20-61,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	15	U
100-41-4Ethylbenzene_	15	U
1330-20-7Xylene (m,p)	15	ע ו
1330-20-7Xylene (total)	15	ן ט
95-47-6Xylene (o)	15	ט
100-42-5Styrene	15	ט
75-25-2Bromoform	15	ן ט
98-82-8Isopropylbenzene	15	ן ט
1476-11-5cis-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	15	ן ע
79-34-51,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	15	ן ט
96-18-41,2,3-Trichloropropane	15	
110-57-6trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	15	ן ט
541-73-11,3-Dichlorobenzene	15	1 - 1
106-46-71,4-Dichlorobenzene	15	
95-50-11,2-Dichlorobenzene	15	1 - 1
		I [

ROUX2 SAMPLE NO.

MODEL CLAY

Lab Name: STL BURLINGTON

Contract: 24000

Lab Code: STLVT Case No.: 24000 SAS No.:

SDG No.: 100862

Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL

Lab Sample ID: 576337

Sample wt/vol:

3.6 (g/mL) G

Lab File ID:

576337

Level: (low/med)

LOW

Date Received: 06/19/04

% Moisture: not dec. 53

Date Analyzed: 06/22/04

GC Column: CAP

ID: 0.53 (mm)

Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS:

CAS NO.

COMPOUND

(ug/L or ug/Kg) UG/KG

Q

96-12-81,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane 120-82-11,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 87-68-3Hexachlorobutadiene 91-20-3Naphthalene 594-20-72,2-Dichloropropane	15 U 15 U 15 U 15 U 15 U 15 U
563-58-61,1-Dichloropropene 142-28-91,3-Dichloropropane 108-86-1Bromobenzene	- COE 15 U 15 U 15 U 15 U 15 U
103-65-1n-Propylbenzene 95-49-82-Chlorotoluene	15 U 15 U
106-43-41,3,5-Trimethylbenzene 98-06-6tert-Butylbenzene	_  15 U   15 U   15 U
95-63-61,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 135-98-8sec-Butylbenzene	15 U 15 U
99-87-64-Isopropyltoluene 104-51-8Butylbenzene 87-61-61,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	15 U 15 U 15 U
1,2,3 11101101000112011	